

A FINITE MULTIPLICITY HELSON-LOWDENSLAGER-DE BRANGES THEOREM

SNEH LATA, MEGHNA MITTAL, AND DINESH SINGH

ABSTRACT. This paper proves two theorems. The first of these simplifies and lends clarity to the previous characterizations of the invariant subspaces of S , the operator of multiplication by the coordinate function z , on $L^2(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{C}^n)$, where $\mathbb{T}$ is the unit circle, by characterizing the invariant subspaces of S^n on scalar valued L^p ($0 < p \leq \infty$) thereby eliminating range functions and partial isometries. It also gives precise conditions as to when the operator shall be a pure shift and describes the precise nature of the wandering vectors and the doubly invariant subspaces. The second theorem describes the contractively contained Hilbert spaces in L^p that are simply invariant under S^n thereby generalizing the first theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Helson-Lowdenslager invariant subspace theorem, [8], describes the simply invariant subspaces of the operator S of multiplication by the coordinate function z on L^2 thereby generalizing in a highly non-trivial fashion Beurling's famous invariant subspace theorem [1]. The theorem and the method invented by Helson and Lowdenslager are important for a variety of reasons and we refer to [3], [4], [5], [10], [13], [14] for such details. The doubly invariant subspaces of S are described by Wiener's theorem. We refer to [6] for details. Between them, these two theorems describe the class of all invariant subspaces for S on scalar valued L^2 of the unit circle. The description of such invariant subspaces of S has been generalized and extended in many directions and we refer to [4], [6], [7], [13], [15], [16]. We also refer to [19] for several other useful references. Among these fruitful and interesting generalizations is the extension of these theorems to the context of functions on the unit circle taking values in an arbitrary Hilbert space H . Such a generalization can be found among other places in Helson's book [6] and in [16] as also in [12], [18], [22]. The tenor of these generalizations involves the description of the decomposition of these invariant subspaces into the direct sum of two invariant subspaces one of which is the shift part

Date: September 23, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A15.

Key words and phrases. Invariant subspaces, Helson-Lowdenslager Theorem, de Branges Theorem, Lebesgue spaces, finite multiplicity, shift part, multiplication by z^n .

and the other being doubly invariant. Unlike the scalar case, such generalizations do point out the fact that the two subspaces-the shift part and the doubly invariant can co-exist simultaneously to together add up to the entire invariant subspace which shall remain simply invariant.

The purpose of this paper is to prove two theorems. The first of these looks anew at the solved problem of characterizing the invariant subspaces of S on the Lebesgue space L^2 whose functions take values in a finite dimensional Hilbert space H . More precisely, unlike the previous characterizations, we characterize-without taking recourse to vectorial concepts such as that of a range function and without stepping outside the scalar situation-the most general form of an invariant subspace of the operator of multiplication by z^n in the classical Lebesgue space L^p , $0 < p \leq \infty$, on the unit circle. This includes describing the precise nature of the shift part of a simply invariant subspace by characterizing in an explicit and simple form the nature of its wandering vectors and by giving exact conditions under which a simply invariant subspace cannot contain a reducing subspace. We also show when the reducing part of a simply invariant subspace will not be zero and in that event we describe the precise nature of the reducing part in terms of the wandering vectors of the shift part.

The second theorem, in this paper, characterizes the class of all Hilbert spaces that are contractively contained in L^q , $0 < q \leq 2$, and on which the operator of multiplication by z^n acts isometrically. By imposing a natural condition, we give a precise description of such spaces which also generalizes our first theorem as well as the main theorems in [13] and [15], [21], [6, Page 26] and a theorem of de Branges [2].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give some of the basic notations, definitions and the statement of the main results. In Section 3, we prove some of the preliminary results followed by the proof of the first main theorem. Section 4 deals with some basic results concerning De Branges spaces and it then presents the proof of the second main theorem. Also, we prove a direct generalization of the characterization, as given in [13], [15], of those Hilbert spaces which are boundedly contained in L^p and are doubly invariant under S^n . Of course while we deal with the case of a general positive integer these papers deal with the case $n = 1$. We would like to mention that the results proved in a De Branges setting give an alternate characterization theorem for the classical case. It would be interesting to compare them. Finally towards the end of this section we give some final remarks and results which follow as consequences of the results proved earlier in the same section.

2. NOTATIONS, TERMINOLOGY AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULTS

We shall denote the unit circle in the complex plane by $\mathbb{T}$ and the open unit disk by $\mathbb{D}$. For any $0 < p \leq \infty$, L^p and H^p shall denote the familiar

Lebesgue and Hardy spaces respectively on $\mathbb{T}$. Recall that L^p and consequently also H^p , are Banach spaces with the usual norm which is given by $\|f\|_p = (\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f|^p dm)^{1/p}$ when $0 < p < \infty$ and $\|f\|_\infty = \text{esssup}|f|$ when $p = \infty$. In particular, when $p = 2$ these are Hilbert spaces with the inner product given by $\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f \bar{g} dm$. For a fixed positive integer n , $L^p(z^n)$ shall denote the closed linear span of $\{z^{kn} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ in L^p and $H^p(z^n)$ will stand for $L^p(z^n) \cap H^p$.

A Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be *boundedly contained* in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ if $\mathcal{M}$ is a vector subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ (in the algebraic sense) and if the inclusion map, i.e. $\|x\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C\|x\|_{\mathcal{M}}$ for all x in $\mathcal{M}$ and some constant C . When $C = 1$, we say that $\mathcal{H}$ is *contractively contained* in $\mathcal{M}$. For further details on all of the above we refer to [5], [8] and [9].

For a fixed positive integer n , S^n shall denote the operator of multiplication by z^n where z is the coordinate function on $\mathbb{T}$. It is easy to see that S^n is an isometry on L^2 and hence also on H^2 . A closed subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of L^p , $0 < p \leq \infty$ (weak star closed when $p = \infty$) is said to be *simply invariant* under S^n if $S^n(\mathcal{M}) \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$ and *doubly invariant* if $S^n(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$. For our purposes, a Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}$ which is boundedly contained in L^p will be said to be *simply (doubly) invariant* if $S^n(\mathcal{M}) \subsetneq \mathcal{M}$ ($S^n(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$).

If T is an operator on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, then we let $\mathcal{R}(T)$ denote the range of T , which is a (not necessarily closed) subspace of $\mathcal{K}$. However, if we endow $\mathcal{R}(T)$ with the norm, $\|h\|_{\mathcal{R}(T)} = \inf\{\|k\|_{\mathcal{K}} : Tk = h\}$, then $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is a Hilbert space in this norm called the *range space* of T and it is boundedly contained in $\mathcal{K}$. When T is a contraction then the range space of T is contractively contained in $\mathcal{K}$.

Before proceeding with some more terminology we note that we can write

$$L^p = L^p(z^n) \oplus zL^p(z^n) \oplus \cdots \oplus z^{n-1}L^p(z^n).$$

Obviously, by the above direct sum we mean the Hilbert space direct sum when $p = 2$ and algebraic direct sum otherwise. The following definition concerns L^∞ functions. It is a direct analogue of the definition of n -inner functions in H^∞ introduced by the third author and Thukral in [20].

Definition 2.1. A function $\phi \in L^\infty$ is called *n-unimodular* if $\sum_{i=1}^n |\phi_i|^2 = 1$ a.e. where $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^n z^{i-1} \phi_i$ with $\phi_i \in L^\infty(z^n) \forall i$.

Lastly, we define an operator on L^2 which is an analogue of the multiplication operator usually denoted by M_ϕ where $\phi \in L^\infty$. Take a matrix $\psi = (\psi_{ij}) \in M_{mn}(L^\infty)$ and define an operator on L^2 via $A_\psi : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ by

$$A_\psi(f) = \sum_{i=1}^m z^{i-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{ij}(z) f_j \right)$$

where $f = \sum_{i=1}^n z^{i-1} f_i$ with $f_i \in L^2(z^n)$.

It is easy to see that A_ψ is a bounded operator on L^2 and $n = m = 1$ gives us the usual multiplication operator on L^2 . Note that the kernel of the operator A_ψ is a doubly invariant subspace of L^2 under S^n . We adopt the notation, $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}^\psi$ for the kernel of the operator A_ψ restricted to the subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of L^2 . By $\bar{\psi}$ we mean the matrix $(\overline{\psi_{ij}})$, where $\overline{\psi_{ij}}$ is the complex conjugate of the function ψ_{ij} .

For our purposes, $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}^\psi$ is specific in nature. To see this, let $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r\}$ be a set of n -unimodular functions in L^∞ and let $\psi = (\psi_{ij})$, where $\psi_i = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} \psi_{ij}$, $\psi_{ij} \in L^\infty(z^n)$. Then it can be easily seen that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}^\psi = \{f \in \mathcal{M} : \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{ij} f_j = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq r\},$$

where $f = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} f_j$, $f_j \in L^2(z^n)$.

If we let $\mathcal{M} = L^2$ and replace ψ by $\bar{\psi}$ then as noted before, $\mathcal{K}_{L^2}^{\bar{\psi}}$ is the doubly invariant subspace of L^2 under S^n . In fact, it is the maximal invariant subspace of L^2 that is orthogonal to $\psi_i H^2(z^n) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$.

Keeping this motivation in mind, we define

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}(p)}^\psi = \{f \in \mathcal{M} : \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{ij} f_j = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq r\},$$

where $f = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} f_j$, $f_j \in L^p(z^n)$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is any subspace of L^p .

We are now in a position to give the statement of our main results.

Theorem A. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a simply invariant subspace of L^p , $0 < p \leq \infty$ under S^n . Then the most general form that $\mathcal{M}$ can assume is*

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i H^p(z^n) \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{\phi}}$$

where

- (i) $r \leq n$,
- (ii) each ϕ_i is a n -unimodular function not vanishing on any set of positive measure,
- (iii) $\|\phi_i f\|_p = \|f\|_p$ for every $f \in H^p(z^n)$, and
- (iv) $\phi = (\phi_{ij}) \in M_n(L^\infty(z^n))$, $\phi_i = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} \phi_{ij}$.

Moreover, if $r = n$ then $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{\phi}} = \{0\}$ and if $r < n$ then there exists infinitely many nonzero doubly invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{K}_{L^2}^{\bar{\phi}}$ which when appended to $\sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i H^2(z^n)$ form a simply invariant subspace of L^p .

The second of our two main theorems shows that under similar conditions as considered in [13] and [15], there are non-trivial Hilbert spaces contractively contained in L^p , for $0 < p \leq 2$. The analogous result for the case $2 < p \leq \infty$ as obtained by the third author and Paulsen in [13] can be found in a later section which asserts that there is no non-trivial simply invariant Hilbert space under S^n which is contractively contained in L^p . We shall adopt the notation $\frac{2q}{2-q} = \infty$ when $q = 2$.

Theorem B. *Let $\mathcal{M} \neq 0$ be a simply invariant Hilbert space contractively contained in L^q , $0 < q \leq 2$ and on which S^n acts isometrically. Further, suppose that $\exists p$, $2 \leq p \leq \frac{2q}{2-q}$ and a $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \delta \|f\|_p \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^p.$$

Then there exists an orthonormal set, $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^r \subseteq \mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty$, $r \leq n$, each of which is nonzero a.e. such that

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \psi_i H^2(z^n) \oplus \mathcal{R}(M_h A_\phi),$$

where

- (i) $\phi \in M_n(L^\infty(z^n))$ and $g \in L^{2q/(2-q)}$ is a strictly positive function such that

$$h = \begin{cases} g & \text{when } 0 < q < 2 \\ 1 & \text{when } q = 2 \end{cases}$$

- (ii) $\|\psi_i f\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \|f\|_2$ for every $f \in H^2(z^n)$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$,
 (iii) $(\sum_{j=1}^r |\psi_{ij}|)^{-1} \in L^s$ and $\|(\sum_{j=1}^r |\psi_{ij}|)^{-1}\|_s \leq \delta$ where $\psi_i = \sum_{j=1}^r z^{j-1} \psi_{ij}$
 and $s = \begin{cases} \frac{2p}{p-2} & \text{if } 2 < p \leq \frac{2q}{2-q} \\ \infty & \text{if } p = 2 \end{cases}$

Moreover, if $r = n$ then $\mathcal{R}(M_h A_\phi) = \{0\}$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM A.

We begin this section with some of the preliminary results required to give the proof of the first main theorem.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a subspace of L^2 which is simply invariant under S^n . If we denote $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \ominus S^n \mathcal{M}$, then*

- (i) $\{\phi z^{kn}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal set for each unit vector $\phi \in \mathcal{N}$,
- (ii) if a unit vector $\phi \in \mathcal{N}$, then ϕ is n -unimodular,
- (iii) $\mathcal{N} \subseteq L^\infty$,
- (iv) if $\{\phi, \psi\}$ is an orthogonal set in $\mathcal{N}$, then $\sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j \bar{\psi}_j = 0$ a.e., where $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} \phi_j$ and $\psi = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} \psi_j$,
- (v) elements of $\mathcal{N}$ cannot vanish on sets of positive measure.

Proof. Given that $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \oplus S^{kn}(\mathcal{N}) \cap \bigcap_{k \geq 0} S^{kn} \mathcal{M}$ (by the decomposition of isometries as in [9, page 109]) the conclusion of (i) is immediate. In order to prove (ii), we let $\phi \in \mathcal{N}$, $\|\phi\|_2 = 1$. Then by (i), $\phi \perp \phi z^{kn} \forall k \neq 0$. This yields that $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi|^2 z^{kn} = 0 \forall k \neq 0$. If we write $\phi = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} z^j \phi_j$ with $\phi_j \in H^2(z^n)$ then $\sum_{j=1}^n |\phi_j|^2 = \delta$, for some constant δ . It is easy to see that $\delta = 1$ since $\|\phi\|_2 = 1$. This clearly shows that $\phi_i \in L^\infty \forall i$ and hence also proves (iii).

To prove (iv), let $\{\phi, \psi\}$ be an orthonormal set in $\mathcal{N}$. Then the decomposition of isometries gives us that $\langle \phi, z^{kn} \psi \rangle = 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\phi = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} \phi_j$ and $\psi = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} \psi_j$, where as usual ϕ_j, ψ_j are in $H^2(z^n)$, then this yields that $\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i \bar{\psi}_i, z^{kn} \rangle = 0 \forall k$. Also, note that $\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i \bar{\psi}_i, z^{2n-1} \rangle = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$, since ϕ_i and ψ_i are even functions.

Finally we prove (v) by contradiction. Take a non zero ϕ in $\mathcal{N}$ and suppose there exists a set of positive measure A in $\mathbb{T}$ such that ϕ vanishes on A .

Define

$$k_m = \begin{cases} m & \text{on } B \\ 1 & \text{on } B^c \end{cases}$$

where $B = \{z^n : z \in A\}$. Note that $k_m \in L^\infty$ for each m .

Let $t_m(z) = k_m(z^n)$ and $h_m = \exp(t_m + i\tilde{t}_m)$, where $\tilde{t}_m$ denotes the harmonic conjugate of t_m , so that $h_m \in H^\infty(z^n)$ for all m . Let $h_m = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^{kn}$ then by (i) we get that

$$\|\phi \sum_{k=0}^l \alpha_k z^{kn}\|_2 = \left(\sum_{k=0}^l |\alpha_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left\| \sum_{k=0}^l \alpha_k z^{kn} \right\|_2.$$

Note that $\phi \sum_{k=0}^l \alpha_k z^{kn} \in \mathcal{M}$ and is Cauchy in L^2 . This shows that $\phi h_m \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\|\phi h_m\|_2 = \|h_m\|_2$. By the definition of h_m , we get that $\|\phi h_m\|_2 < K$ for some constant K independent of m and $\|h_m\|_2 > \sqrt{\exp(2m)m(A)}$ which is indeed a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ be a set of n -unimodular functions such that $\phi_i \perp \phi_j H^2(z^n)$ for each $i \neq j$. If we write $\phi_i(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} \phi_{ij}(z)$ with $\phi_{ij} \in L^2(z^n) \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and define*

$$A_r(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11}(z) & \cdots & \phi_{1n}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \phi_{j1}(z) & \cdots & \phi_{jn}(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \phi_{r1}(z) & \cdots & \phi_{rn}(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

then

- (i) $A_r(z) A_r(z)^* = I_r$ for almost all z . In particular, if $r = n$ then $A_n(z)$ is unitary for almost all z .
- (ii) there exists no nonzero $\psi \in L^2$ such that $\psi \perp \phi_i L^2(z^n)$ for each i .

Proof. It follows by the logic used to deduce Lemma 3.1(iv) that $\sum_{l=1}^n \phi_{il} \overline{\phi_{jl}} = 0$ a.e. $\forall i \neq j$. This together with the hypothesis that each ϕ_i is n -unimodular forces $A_r(z)A_r(z)^* = I_r$ a.e. and hence completes the proof of (i).

Assume that $\psi \perp \phi_i L^2(z^n)$ for each i and let $\psi = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} \psi_j$ where $\psi_j \in L^2(z^n)$. Then $\sum_{j=1}^n \phi_{ij} \overline{\psi_j} = 0$ a.e. $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus,

$$A_n(z) \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\psi_1(z)} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\psi_j(z)} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\psi_n(z)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ a.e.}$$

It follows from this and (i) that $\psi = 0$ a.e. and this completes the proof of the result. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a simply invariant subspace of L^p , $0 < p \leq \infty$ under S^n and let $f \in L^p$. If $z^{nm}f \in \mathcal{M}$ for each $m \geq 1$ and there exists $k \in H^\infty(z^n)$ with $\langle k, 1 \rangle \neq 0$ such that $kf \in \mathcal{M}$, then $f \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Let $\langle k, 1 \rangle = a_0$, then $k - a_0 \in H^\infty(z^n)$. If we denote the m^{th} Cesaro mean of $k - a_0$ by k_m , then each k_m is a polynomial in z^n that converges to k a.e. and $\|k_m\|_\infty \leq \|k\|_\infty$. Clearly $k_m f \in \mathcal{M} \forall m \geq 1$ since $\langle k_m - a_0, 1 \rangle = 0$ and $z^{nm}f \in \mathcal{M} \forall m \geq 1$. Note that $k_m f \rightarrow (k - a_0)f$ in L^p . From which it follows that $f \in \mathcal{M}$. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be simply invariant subspace of L^p , $0 < p \leq \infty$ under S^n . Then $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{L^2} \cap L^p = \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{L^2} \cap L^p$. Then $\exists$ a sequence $\{f_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ in L^2 . Note that there exists $f_{kj}, f_j \in L^2(z^n)$ such that $f_k = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} f_{kj}$, $f = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} f_j$ and $f_{kj} \rightarrow f_j$ in L^2 for each j . By Lemma 4.1 in [4], there exists a uniformly bounded $h_{kj} \in H^\infty$ which converges to 1 a.e. and $h_{kj} f_{kj} \rightarrow f_j$ in $L^p \forall j = 1, \dots, n$. Observe that we can easily assume that $h_{kj} \in H^\infty(z^n) \forall j$. Thus, it follows that $\prod_{j=1}^n h_{kj} f_k$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ which converges to f in L^p and hence the result. $\square$

Remark 3.5. *The above lemma yields that there does not exist any proper S^n invariant subspace of L^p which is dense in L^2 .*

We are now in a position to prove the first of our two main theorems.

Proof of Theorem A. We break the proof into three cases.

Case (1). Assume $p = 2$. By using the decomposition of isometries [9, page 109] we get that

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{K}$$

where $\mathcal{K} = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} S^{nk} \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}_1 = \sum_{k \geq 0} S^{kn} \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \ominus S^n \mathcal{M}$. Clearly, $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} S^{kn} \mathcal{M}_1 = \{0\}$ and $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} S^{kn} \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}$.

To establish the result, we claim that the dimension of $\mathcal{N} \leq n$. Suppose there exists a set of $n+1$ orthonormal vectors $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{n+1}\}$ in $\mathcal{N}$. Then by (ii) and (iv) of Lemma 3.1 we find that each ϕ_i is n -unimodular and $\phi_{n+1} \perp \phi_i L^2(z^n) \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, by Lemma 3.2(ii), it follows that $\phi_{n+1} = 0$ which is a contradiction to the fact that $\|\phi_{n+1}\| = 1$. This proves that

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i H^2(z^n)$$

where $r \leq n$, and $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{N}$. The rest of the properties of $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^r$ follow from Lemma 3.1.

Lastly, to see $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{\phi}}$, it is enough to note that $\mathcal{K}$ is doubly invariant and is orthogonal to $\phi_i H^2(z^n)$ for each i .

Finally, if $r = n$ then Lemma 3.2(ii) asserts that $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{\phi}} = \{0\}$, and if $r < n$ then by Lemma 3.2(i) there exist infinitely many functions in $\mathcal{K}_{L^2}^{\bar{\phi}}$. Consequently, there exist infinitely many nonzero doubly invariant subspaces, $\mathcal{K}_{M'}^{\bar{\phi}} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{L^2}^{\bar{\phi}}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i H^2(z^n) \oplus \mathcal{K}_{M'}^{\bar{\phi}}$ is a simply invariant subspace of L^2 . This completes the proof of the case $p = 2$.

Case (2). Assume $0 < p < 2$. We first claim that

$$\mathcal{M} \cap L^2 \neq 0.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$, then $|f|^{p/2}$ is in L^2 . It is easy to see that

$$g(z) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |f|^{p/2}(\alpha^i z)}{n}$$

belongs to $L^2(z^n)$, where $\alpha \neq 1$ is an n^{th} root of unity. If $h(z)$ denotes the harmonic conjugate of $g(z)$, then $h \in L^2(z^n)$ and hence $g + ih$ is in $H^2(z^n)$. Set $k = \exp[-(g + ih)]$. Then k is an outer function in $H^\infty(z^n)$. It is immediate to see that $kf \in L^\infty$ and hence in L^2 . We show that kf is in $\mathcal{M}$. Let k_m be the m^{th} Cesaro mean of k . Then each k_m is a polynomial in $H^2(z^n)$ and so $k_m f$ is in $\mathcal{M}$ for every m . Further, $\|k_m\|_\infty \leq \|k\|_\infty$ and $k_m \rightarrow k$ almost everywhere. Thus $\|k_m f - kf\|_p \rightarrow 0$. This shows that kf is in $\mathcal{M}$ and thus $\mathcal{M} \cap L^2$ is a non-trivial subspace of L^2 .

Note that $\mathcal{M} \cap L^2$ is closed in L^2 because $\mathcal{M}$ is closed in L^p . Also $\mathcal{M} \cap L^2$ is a simply invariant subspace of L^2 under S^n , so by Case (1) we conclude that

$$\mathcal{M} \cap L^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i H^2(z^n) \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M} \cap L^2}^{\bar{\phi}}$$

where $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^r$ is a set of n -unimodular orthonormal vectors that do not vanish on any set of positive measure and ϕ is the corresponding matrix in $L^\infty(z^n)$ as obtained in the Case (1).

We claim that

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i H^p(z^n) \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{\phi}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is invariant under S^n and $\phi_i \in \mathcal{M}$, therefore,

$$\mathcal{M} \supseteq \sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i H^p(z^n) \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{\phi}}.$$

To establish the other containment, let $f \in \mathcal{M}$. Then as shown above, there exists an outer function $k \in H^\infty(z^n)$ such that $kf \in M \cap L^\infty$. So we can write $kf = \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i h_i + s$ for some h_i in $H^2(z^n)$ and $s \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M} \cap L^2}^{\bar{\phi}}$. Note that

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{ij} k f(\alpha^i z)|^2}{n^2} = \sum_{i=1}^r |h_i(z)|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n |s_i|^2,$$

where $s = \sum_{i=1}^n z^{i-1} s_i$, $s_i \in L^2(z^n)$.

Since $k \in H^2(z^n)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^r |h_i(z)|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n |s_i|^2 &= \frac{|k(z)|^2}{n^2} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{ij} f(\alpha^i z) \right|^2 \right) \\ \implies \sum_{i=1}^r \left| \frac{h_i(z)}{k} \right|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{s_i}{k} \right|^2 &= \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f(\alpha^j z)|^2}{n} \leq \frac{(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f(\alpha^j z)|)^2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

This together with the fact that k is outer shows that $\frac{h_i}{k} \in H^p(z^n) \forall i$, which further implies that $\sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i \frac{h_i}{k} \in \sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i H^p(z^n) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

Lastly, we need to show that $\frac{s}{k} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{\phi}}$. Note, by the proof of Case (1) we have that $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M} \cap L^2}^{\bar{\phi}} = \bigcap_{l \geq 0} S^{nl}(\mathcal{M} \cap L^2)$. Thus, there exists $s_l \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^2$ such that $s = z^{ln} s_l \forall l \geq 0$. We shall prove that $\frac{s_l}{k} \in \mathcal{M} \forall l \geq 0$ by induction on l . Clearly, from the above paragraph $\frac{s_0}{k} \in \mathcal{M}$. Also it can be easily seen that $\frac{s_1}{k}$ satisfies all the hypotheses of Lemma 3.3 and thus $\frac{s_1}{k} \in \mathcal{M}$. Note that $s_{l-1} = z^n s_l$, thus it is immediate that $\frac{s_l}{k} \in \mathcal{M}$ if $\frac{s_{l-1}}{k} \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus, we conclude that $\frac{s}{k} \in \bigcap_{l \geq 0} S^{ln} \mathcal{M}$. Further, it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{l \geq 0} S^{ln} \mathcal{M} &\subseteq \{f \in \mathcal{M} : \exists k_f \in H^\infty(z^n) \text{ with } k_f f \in \bigcap_{l \geq 0} S^{ln}(\mathcal{M} \cap L^2)\} \\ &= \{f \in \mathcal{M} : \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{n-(i-1)j} k_f f(\alpha^j z)}{n z^{i-1}} \overline{\phi_{ij}} = 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, n\} \\ &= \{f \in \mathcal{M} : \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{n-(i-1)j} f(\alpha^j z)}{n z^{i-1}} \overline{\phi_{ij}} = 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, n\} \\ &= \{f \in \mathcal{M} : \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \overline{\phi_{ij}} = 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, n\} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bar{\phi}} \end{aligned}$$

where $f = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} f_j$, $f_j \in L^p(z^n)$. The rest of the conclusions are now immediate using Case (1).

Case (3). Lastly, we assume that $p > 2$. It can be verified that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{L^2}$ is a simply invariant under S^n which further yields that

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{L^2} = \sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i H^2(z^n) \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{L^2}}^{\overline{\phi}}$$

where $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^r$, $r \leq n$ is a set of orthonormal vectors in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{L^2} \cap L^\infty$ such that each ϕ_i does not vanish on a set of positive measure.

By virtue of Lemma 3.4, it is enough to show that

$$\mathcal{M} \subseteq \sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i H^p(z^n) \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{L^2}}^{\overline{\phi}}$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$, then there exists $h_i \in H^2(z^n)$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{L^2}}^{\overline{\phi}}$ such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i h_i + k$$

If we let $k = \sum_{j=1}^n z^{j-1} k_j$, $k_j \in L^2(z^n)$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (|h_i|^2 + |k_i|^2) = \frac{(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f(\alpha^j z)|^2)}{n} \leq \frac{(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f(\alpha^j z)|)^2}{n}.$$

This implies that

$$|h_i| \leq \frac{(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f(\alpha^j z)|)}{n^{1/2}}$$

and

$$|k_i| \leq \frac{(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |f(\alpha^j z)|)}{n^{1/2}}$$

Hence $h_i \in L^p \cap H^2(z^n) = H^p(z^n)$ and $k_i \in L^p(z^n) \forall i$. Thus, by the Lemma 3.4 we get that $k \in \mathcal{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}^{\overline{\phi}}$ and

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i H^p(z^n) \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}^{\overline{\phi}}.$$

Finally, we conclude the proof of the result by noting that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}^{\overline{\phi}} = \mathcal{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{L^2}}^{\overline{\phi}} \cap L^p.$$

which follows from the Lemma 3.4.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM B.

In this section, we shall describe the simply and doubly invariant subspace of S^n , which are contractively contained in L^p for $0 < p \leq \infty$. But before we prove the theorem we give some preliminary results which might be of interest in themselves.

To prove the following result which describes the commutant of an operator S^n , we need to recall the operator A_ϕ defined in Section 2.

Lemma 4.1. *The commutant $\{S^n\}'$ of the operator S^n is the set of operators*

$$\{A_\phi : \phi = (\phi_{ij}) \in M_n(L^\infty(z^n)), 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$$

Proof. The linear map $U : L^2 \rightarrow L^2(z^n)$ defined by $U(z) = z^n$ is an onto isometry and consequently, the map

$$V = U \oplus zU \oplus z^{n-1}U : \underbrace{L^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus L^2}_{n \text{ times}} \rightarrow L^2$$

defines an onto isometry. Note that $V^*S^nV = \underbrace{S \oplus \cdots \oplus S}_{n \text{ times}}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \{S^n\}' &= \{VTV^* : T \in \{S \oplus \cdots \oplus S\}'\} \\ &= \{V(M_{\psi_{ij}})V^* : (\psi_{ij}) \in M_n(L^\infty)\} \\ &= \{(z^{i-j}M_{\phi_{ij}}) : (\phi_{ij}) \in M_n(L^\infty(z^n))\} \end{aligned}$$

where $UM_{\psi_{ij}}U^* = M_{\phi_{ij}}$ and $\phi_{ij} \in L^\infty(z^n)$.

Finally, note that $A_\phi = (z^{i-j}M_{\phi_{ij}})$ with respect to the decomposition $L^2 = L^2(z^n) \oplus zL^2(z^n) \oplus \cdots \oplus z^{n-1}L^2(z^n)$. $\square$

We shall now obtain the characterization of the Hilbert spaces boundedly contained in L^q , $0 < q \leq 2$, on which S^n acts as a unitary. In view of Lemma 4.1 above, the ideas of the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [13] and Theorem 2.3 in [15] can be extended to prove an appropriate generalization of both the results for S^n . For the sake of completeness we present the details here. Before we state and prove our result, we need another theorem stated in [15, page 3] and proved in [11].

Theorem 4.2. *If $\mathcal{H}$ is Hilbert space and $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^p$, $0 < p < 2$, is a continuous linear operator, then there exists a continuous linear operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2$ and a $g > 0$ in $L^{2p/(2-p)}$ such that $T = M_gU$, where M_g is multiplication by g .*

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space boundedly contained in L^q , $0 < q \leq 2$. Then S^n acts unitarily on $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if there exists a function h in $L^{2q/(2-q)}$ and $\phi = (\phi_{ij}) \in M_n(L^\infty(z^n))$ such that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{R}(M_hA_\phi)$ isometrically; that is, $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|h\|_{\mathcal{R}(M_hA_\phi)}$ for all h in $\mathcal{H}$. When $\mathcal{H}$ is contractively contained in L^q , we have $\|M_hA_\phi\| \leq 1$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{R}(M_h A_\phi)$ isometrically, for some h in $L^{2q/(2-q)}$ and $\phi = (\phi_{ij}) \in M_n(L^\infty(z^n))$, then to prove that S^n acts unitarily on $\mathcal{H}$, it is enough to note that $z^n h, z^{-n} h \in \mathcal{H} \forall h \in \mathcal{H}$.

To prove the converse we assume that S^n acts unitarily on $\mathcal{H}$ and let $C : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^q$ denote the bounded containment. We now divide the proof in two cases.

For $q = 2$, there exists a unitary operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $S^n C = C U$. This means that $S^n C C^* S^{n*} = C C^*$, because U is a unitary. Hence, by Lemma 4.1, $C C^* = A_\psi$ for some $\psi \in M_n(L^\infty(z^n))$ and so by Douglas' factorization theorem $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{R}(C) = \mathcal{R}((A_\psi)^{1/2})$. Note that $\{A_\psi : \phi \in L^\infty(z^n)\}$ is a C^* -subalgebra of $M_n(L^\infty)$, thus there exists $\phi \in L^\infty(z^n)$ such that $(A_\psi)^{1/2} = A_\phi$. It can be easily verified that $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|h\|_{\mathcal{R}(A_\phi)}$ and $\|A_\phi\| \leq 1$ whenever $\mathcal{H}$ is contractively contained in L^2 .

We now proceed with the case $0 < q < 2$. By Theorem 4.2, there exists a $g > 0$ in $L^{2q/(2-q)}$ and an operator $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2$ such that $C = M_g U$. Thus, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{R}(C) = \mathcal{R}(M_g U)$ and since $g > 0$ we get that S^n acts unitarily on $\mathcal{R}(U)$. Now it follows from the above case ($q = 2$) that $\mathcal{R}(U) = \mathcal{R}(A_\phi)$ isometrically for some $\phi \in M_n(L^\infty(z^n))$ such that $U U^* = A_\phi^2$ with $A_\phi \geq 0$. Finally, we get that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{R}(M_g A_\phi)$ isometrically since $g > 0$ and $\|M_g A_\phi\| \leq 1$ when $\mathcal{H}$ is contractively contained in $L^q, 0 < q < 2$.

Note,

$$h = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } q = 2 \\ g & \text{when } 0 < q < 2 \end{cases}$$

□

Remark 4.4. Note that the above theorem gives us an alternate characterization of the reducing part in the classical case of L^2 .

As an immediate consequence we get the following analogue of Corollary 2.2 in [13] and Theorem 2.4 in [15].

Corollary 4.5. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be boundedly contained in $L^q, 0 < q \leq 2$ and let S^n act unitarily on $\mathcal{H}$. Then $\mathcal{H} \cap L^\infty \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{H} \neq 0$.

The following result completes the characterization of Hilbert spaces boundedly contained in $L^p, 0 < p \leq \infty$, on which S^n acts unitarily.

Theorem 4.6. If $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space boundedly contained in $L^q, 2 < q \leq \infty$, on which S^n acts unitarily, then $\mathcal{H} = \{0\}$.

Proof. If $\mathcal{H}$ is boundedly contained in L^q for $q > 2$, then $\mathcal{H}$ is boundedly contained in L^2 , since $L^q \subseteq L^2$ and the L^q -norm dominates the L^2 -norm. Thus by Theorem 4.3, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{R}(M_\mu A_\phi)$ for some function $\phi = (\phi_{ij}) \in M_n L^\infty(z^n)$. Then for each fixed $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$, the function $\sum_{i=1}^n z^{i-1} \phi_{ij}$ multiplies $L^2(z^n)$ into $\mathcal{H}$, and hence multiplies L^2 into L^q . However, there is no nonzero function in L^∞ that multiplies L^2 into L^q . Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^n z^{i-1} \phi_{ij} = 0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq n$. This implies, $\phi_{ij} = 0 \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and hence $\mathcal{H} = 0$. □

We are now in a position to characterize the Hilbert spaces, simply invariant under S^n which are contractively contained in L^q , $0 < q \leq \infty$. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{M} \neq 0$ be a Hilbert space, simply invariant under S^n , contractively contained in L^q , $0 < q \leq 2$ and on which S^n acts isometrically. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \ominus S^n(\mathcal{M})$, then*

- (i) $\mathcal{N} \subseteq L^{2q/(2-q)}$,
- (ii) for every $\phi \in \mathcal{N}$ we have that $\|\phi f\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \|f\|_2 \forall f \in H^2(z^n)$,
- (iii) elements of $\mathcal{N}$ cannot vanish on a set of positive measure.

Proof. By using the decomposition of isometries[9, page 109], we may write

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \bigcap_{k \geq 0} S^{kn} \mathcal{M}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S^{kn}(\mathcal{N})$. In view of the hypothesis that $\mathcal{M}$ is simply invariant, $\mathcal{M}_1 \neq 0$. Consequently, we see that $\mathcal{N} \neq 0$ and hence choose an arbitrary element $\phi \in \mathcal{N}$ with $\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$. Then $\{\phi z^{kn}\}_{k \geq 0}$ is an orthonormal sequence in $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $f \in H^2(z^n)$ then $f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^{kn}$ and $f_l = \sum_{k=0}^l \alpha_k z^{kn}$ converges to f in L^2 . Note that

$$\|\phi f_l\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^l |\alpha_k|^2 = \|f_l\|^2,$$

since $\{\phi z^{kn}\}_{k \geq 0}$ is an orthonormal set in $\mathcal{M}$.

Thus, it follows that $\{\phi f_l\}_l$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ which further implies that there exists $g \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\phi f_l \rightarrow g$ in $\mathcal{M}$, and so in L^2 .

Consequently, there exists a subsequence $\{\phi f_{l_j}\}$ such that the sequence $\phi f_{l_j} \rightarrow g$ and $f_{l_j} \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere. This shows that $\phi f = g$ a.e. and hence $\phi f \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus, we can conclude that $\phi H^2(z^n) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and hence $\phi \in L^{2q/(2-q)}$. Also, $\|\phi f\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \|f\|_2 \forall f \in H^2(z^n)$.

We now show that no element of $\mathcal{N}$ can vanish on a set of positive measure unless it is zero. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{N}$ and suppose it is zero on a set A of positive measure. Then as in Lemma 3.1 we get an unbounded sequence $\{h_l\}$ in $H^\infty(z^n)$ such that the sequence $\{h_l \phi\}$ is in $\mathcal{M} \cap L^\infty$ and

$$\|h_l\|_2 = \|h_l \phi\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \delta \|h_l \phi\|_p$$

By construction of h_l , the right hand side is bounded by a constant independent of l and the left hand side is unbounded. Thus we get a contradiction if ϕ is non zero. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Theorem B. It follows from the above lemma and the hypotheses that $\mathcal{M} \cap L^p$ is a non-zero closed subspace of L^p and is simply invariant under S^n . Thus by Case (3) of Theorem A, there exists a set of orthonormal vectors

$\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^r$ in $(\overline{\mathcal{M} \cap L^p}^{L^2}) \ominus S^n(\overline{\mathcal{M} \cap L^p}^{L^2})$ such that $r \leq n$ and

$$\mathcal{M} \cap L^p = \sum_{i=1}^r \oplus \phi_i H^p(z^n) \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M} \cap L^p}^{\bar{\phi}}$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M} \cap L^p}^{\bar{\phi}} = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} S^{kn}(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)$.

Assume for the moment that $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^r$ is a basis of $(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p / S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p))$ so that $\dim(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p / S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)) = r$. Then we claim that the dimension of $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \ominus S^n \mathcal{M}$ is at most r .

Let $\{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_r, \psi_{r+1}\}$ be an orthonormal set in $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. It follows from the Lemma 4.7(i) that $\psi_i + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p) \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^p / S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p) \forall i$.

Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i (\psi_i + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)) = S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)$.

Then $\alpha_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \psi_r + \alpha_{r+1} \psi_{r+1} \in S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p) \subseteq S^n(\mathcal{M})$ which implies, $\alpha_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \psi_r + \alpha_{r+1} \psi_{r+1} = 0$. Thus $\alpha_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, r+1$. This shows that $\{\psi_i + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)\}_{i=1}^{r+1}$ is a linearly independent set in $\mathcal{M} \cap L^p / S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)$, which is a contradiction to the assumption. Thus, the dimension of $\mathcal{N} \leq r$.

Finally, to show that $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^r$ is a basis of $(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p / S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p))$ we let $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i (\phi_i + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)) = S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)$. This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \phi_i \in S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p) \subseteq S^n(\overline{\mathcal{M} \cap L^p}^{L^2})$ which further yields $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \phi_i = 0$. Since $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^r$ is a linearly independent set, therefore each $\alpha_i = 0$. Thus, $\{\phi_i + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)\}_{i=1}^r$ is a linearly independent set in $(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p / S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p))$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^p$, with $f = \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i h_i + g$, for some $h_i \in H^2(z^n)$, $g \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{M} \cap L^p}^{\bar{\phi}} = \bigcap_{k \geq 0} S^{kn}(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)$. Then

$$f + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p) = \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i h_i + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)$$

If we let $h_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k^i z^{kn}$ then $\phi_i(\sum_{k=0}^l \alpha_k^i z^{kn}) \rightarrow \phi_i h_i$ in $L^p \forall i$, as $l \rightarrow \infty$, since $\phi_i \in L^\infty \forall i$. Note that

$$\phi_i h_i + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p) = \lim_l \alpha_0^i (\phi_i + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)) = \alpha_0^i (\phi_i + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p))$$

Thus, $f + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p) = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_0^i \phi_i + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)$. Hence $\{\phi_i + S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p)\}_{i=1}^r$ is a basis of $(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p / S^n(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p))$.

Let $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^s$ with $s \leq r$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{N}$, then by using the decomposition of isometries [9, page 109] we get that

$$\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i=1}^s \oplus \psi_i H^2(z^n) \oplus \bigcap_{k \geq 0} S^{kn}(\mathcal{M}).$$

It follows from the Theorem 4.3 that $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} S^{kn}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{R}(M_g A_\phi)$ where μ and ϕ have the desired properties. Hence, we obtain the required decomposition of $\mathcal{M}$.

Note that (ii) follows from the above lemma. Thus, it only remains to establish (iii). For the sake of notational simplicity, we prove (iii) for $n = 2$, the proof for general n is identical. Fix an integer i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ and let $\psi_i = \psi_{i1} + z\psi_{i2}$ where $\psi_{ij} \in L^\infty(z^2)$. We shall divide the proof for (iii) in the following cases.

Case(1). Suppose $p = 2$. Then the inequality

$$\|h\|_2 = \|\phi_i h\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \delta \|\phi_i h\|_2$$

implies that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (\delta^2 (|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)^2 - 1) |h|^2 dm \geq 0$$

for all trigonometric polynomials h , from which it follows that

$$\|(|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)^{-1}\|_\infty \leq \delta$$

and hence $|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)^{-1} \in L^\infty$.

Case(2). We now assume that $2 < p < \frac{2q}{2-q}$. For each positive integer m , we define

$$E_m = \{z : |\psi_{i1}(z)| > \frac{1}{m} \text{ or } |\psi_{i2}(z)| > \frac{1}{m}\}$$

and for a fixed real r ,

$$k_m = \begin{cases} r \log(|\psi_{i1}(z)| + |\psi_{i2}(z)|) & \text{on } E_m \\ 0 & \text{on } E_m^c \end{cases}$$

Then

$$h_m = \exp(k_m + i\tilde{k}_m)$$

belongs to $H^\infty(z^2)$ where $\tilde{k}_m$ denote the harmonic conjugate of k_m .

Subcase 2(a). Suppose $2 < p < \frac{2q}{2-q}$. In this case we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E_m} (|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)^{2r} dm \right)^{1/2} &\leq \|h_m\|_2 \\ &= \|\psi_i h_m\|_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &\leq \delta \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\psi_i|^p |h_m|^p dm \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \delta \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} (|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)^p |h_m|^p dm \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in the above set of inequalities we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E_m} (|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)^{2r} dm \right)^{1/2} \leq \delta \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} (|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)^p |h_m|^p dm \right)^{1/p}.$$

Choosing $r = \frac{p}{2-p} < 0$ we have $2r = p(1+r) = -s$. Hence the above inequality yields

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} (|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)^s \right)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \leq \delta,$$

that is, $(|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)^{-1} \in L^s$, where $s = \frac{2p}{p-2}$.

Subcase 2(b). Here we take $p = \frac{2q}{2-q}$, $q \neq 2$. Then we can apply the same arguments as in the case $2 < p < \frac{2q}{2-q}$, to obtain $(|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)^{-1} \in L^s$, where $s = \frac{2p}{p-2}$.

Subcase 2(c). Finally, we consider the case when $p = \frac{2q}{2-q}$, $q = 2$. We find, upon taking the limits in the inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E_m} (|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)^{2r} dm \right)^{1/2} \leq \delta \|(|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)h_m\|_{\infty}$$

and by using the easily deduced fact that $\|(|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)h_m\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, that

$$(|\psi_{i1}| + |\psi_{i2}|)^{-1} \in L^2.$$

Lastly, to prove the final assertion in the theorem, note that $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} S^{kn}(\mathcal{M})$ is contractively contained in L^2 and S^n acts as an unitary on it. If we now suppose $\bigcap_{l \geq 0} S^{nl}(\mathcal{M}) \neq 0$, then by corollary 4.5, $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} S^{kn}(\mathcal{M} \cap L^{\infty}) \neq 0$, which further implies that $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} S^{kn}(\mathcal{M} \cap L^p) \neq 0$. But this cannot happen by case (3) of Theorem A unless $s < n$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The following result comes as a consequence of the above theorem which asserts that, under the same conditions as in the above theorem, there are no non-trivial simply invariant subspaces contractively contained in L^r for some $r > 2$. We prove this result by using the same arguments as used by Paulsen and Singh to prove their corollary 5.2 in [13].

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a simply invariant Hilbert space boundedly contained in L^r for some $r > 2$. Suppose that S^n acts isometrically on $\mathcal{M}$ and that $\mathcal{M}$ satisfies the condition that there exists $2 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \delta \|f\|_p \forall f \in \mathcal{M} \cap L^p$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{M} \neq 0$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is a non zero simply invariant Hilbert space contractively contained in L^2 since $L^r \subset L^2$. Also, it satisfies the condition as in the above theorem by the hypotheses and thus we conclude that there exists $\phi \in L^{\infty}$ such that $\phi H^2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. However, for each $\phi \in L^{\infty}$

there exists $f \in H^2$ such that $\phi f \notin L^r$, thus a contradiction. This completes the proof of the result. $\square$

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Prof. Vern Paulsen for valuable discussions. The third author expresses appreciation to the Department of Mathematics, University of Houston, for support where a part of this paper was written. He also acknowledges the facilities provided by the Mathematical Sciences Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beurling, Two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space, *Acta Mathematica.*, 81(1949), 239-255.
- [2] L. de Branges, *Square Summable Power Series*, manuscript.
- [3] K.R. Davidson, V. Paulsen, M. Raghupathy, D. Singh, A Constrained Nevanlinna-Pick Interpolation Problem, *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 58(2), 2009, 709-732.
- [4] T.W. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2005.
- [5] H. Helson, *Harmonic Analysis*, Hindustan Book Agency, 1995.
- [6] H. Helson, *Lectures on Invariant Subspaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [7] H. Helson, Analyticity on compact Abelian groups, 1-62, *Algebras in Analysis*, Academic Press 1975.
- [8] H. Helson and D. Lowdenslager, Invariant subspaces, *Proc. Internat. Symp. Linear Spaces*, Jerusalem, 1960, 251-262. Macmillan, New York, 1961.
- [9] K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Prentice Hall, 1962.
- [10] K. Hoffman, Analytic functions and logmodular Banach algebras, *Acta Math.* 108, 1962, 271-317.
- [11] B. Maurey, Theorems de factorisation pour le operateurs lineares a valeurs dans les espaces L_p . *Asterisque 11 Societe Math. de France*, 1974.
- [12] Y. Ohno, Simply invariant subspaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* , 19(2), 1967, 368-378.
- [13] V. Paulsen, D. Singh, A Helson-Lowdenslager-De Branges theorem on L^2 , *Proc. Amer. Soc.*, 129(4), 2000, 1097-1103.
- [14] V. Paulsen, D. Singh, Modules over subalgebras of the disk algebra, *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 55(5), 2006, 1751-1766.
- [15] D.A. Redett, Sub-Lebesgue Hilbert spaces on the unit circle, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 37 (2005), 793-800.
- [16] H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *Invariant Subspaces*, Dover Publications, Second edition, 2003.
- [17] M. Rosenblum, J. Rovnyak, *Hardy Classes and Operator Theory*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.
- [18] M. Sand, Shift invariant spaces in L^2 , *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 26(2), 1996, 210-221.
- [19] D. Singh, Harmonic Analysis on the Unit Circle: A Personal Perspective, *The Mathematics Student* , 76(2007), 137-155.
- [20] D. Singh, V. Thukral, Multiplication by Finite Blaschke Factors on De branges Spaces, *J. Operator Theory* , 37(1997), 223-245.
- [21] U.N. Singh, D. Singh, On a theorem of De Branges, *Indian Journal of Mathematics*, 33(1991), 1-5.
- [22] T. P. Srinivasan, Doubly Invariant Subspaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, 14(1964), 701-707.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77204-3476, U.S.A.

E-mail address: snehlata@math.uh.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77204-3476, U.S.A.

E-mail address: mittal@math.uh.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI 110007, INDIA

E-mail address: dineshsingh1@gmail.com